

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,527	02/11/2002	Subrata Mokerji	500-3013-U	6146	
7	7590 08/12/2003				
MCDONALD, HOPKINS, BURKE & HABER CO.			EXAMINER		
2100 BANK ONE CENTER 600 SUPERIOR AVENUE, E.		PIZIALI, ANDREW T			
CLEVELAND	O, OH 44114-2653		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775	14	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, j			47
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	10/073,527	MOKERJI, SUBRATA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew T Piziali	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper reply tent which places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	ire later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the (1) timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months aft	nding amount of the fee. The approp d for reply originally set in the final Of	oriate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed ar	nendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		,	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 45-63</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b) □	] disapproved by the Examine	∍r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. ☑ Other: Interview Summarys Paper Numbers 9 and		<i>f</i> 1	

JOHN J. ZIMMERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment, further limiting the location of the first leveling layer to directly on at least a portion of the surface of the article, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The proposed amendment, further limiting the article by claiming that the polymeric material layer provides a leveling effect to the article substrate, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The proposed amendment, further limiting the article composition, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

G7 8/8/03

Due to the proposed amendment not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

2